arXiv:1212.2428v1 [math.CV] 11 Dec 2012

COMPARISON OF INVARIANT FUNCTIONS ON STRONGLY PSEUDOCONVEX DOMAINS

NIKOLAI NIKOLOV

Abstract. It is shown that the Carathéodory distance and the Lempert function are almost the same on any strongly pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$; in addition, if the boundary is $C^{2+\varepsilon}$-smooth, then $\sqrt{n+1}$ times one of them almost coincides with the Bergman distance.

1. Introduction and results

Let D be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Recall that the Bergman distance b_D is the integrated form of the Bergman metric β_D, i.e.

$$b_D(z,w)=\inf_\gamma\int_0^1\beta_D(\gamma(t);\gamma'(t))dt,$$

where the infimum is taken over all smooth curves $\gamma:[0,1]\to D$ with $\gamma(0)=z$ and $\gamma(1)=w$. Note that

$$\beta_D(z;X)=\frac{M_D(z;X)}{K_D(z)},\quad z\in D,\ X\in\mathbb{C}^n,$$

where

$$M_D(z;X)=\sup\{|f'(z)X|:f\in L^2_h(D),\ ||f||_D=1,\ f(z)=0\}$$

and

$$K_D(z)=\sup\{|f(z)|:f\in L^2_h(D),\ ||f||_D=1\}$$

is the square root of the Bergman kernel on the diagonal.

The Kobayashi distance k_D is the largest distance not exceeding the Lempert function

$$l_D(z,w)=\inf\{\tanh^{-1}|\alpha|:\exists\varphi\in\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D},D)\text{ with }\varphi(0)=z,\varphi(\alpha)=w\},$$

where $\mathbb{D}$ is the unit disc. Note that k_D is the integrated form of the Kobayashi metric

$$\kappa_D(z;X)=\inf\{|\alpha|:\exists\varphi\in\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D},D)\text{ with }\varphi(0)=z,\alpha\varphi'(0)=X\}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32F45.

Key words and phrases. Carathéodory, Kobayashi and Bergman distances, Lempert function, strongly pseudoconvex domain.

Finally, the Carathéodory distance is given by

$$c_D(z,w)=\sup\{\tanh^{-1}|f(w)| : f\in\mathcal{O}(D,\mathbb{D}) \text{ with } f(z)=0\}.$$

Note that $c_D\le k_D\le l_D$ and $c_D\le b_D$.

Let now ∂D be C^2-smooth. Recall that D is called strongly pseudoconvex if for any $p\in\partial D$ the Levi form of the the signed distance to ∂D is positive definite on the tangent space $T_p^{\mathbb{C}}\partial D$.

Z. M. Balogh and M. Bonk [1, 2] introduce a positive function g_D on $D\times D$ which depends on $d_D=\operatorname{dist}(\cdot,\partial D)$ and the Carnot-Carathéodory metric on ∂D. Estimating κ_D, they prove that the function g_D-k_D is bounded on $D\times D$ (see [2, Corollary 1.3]). If $D\subset\mathbb{C}^n$ is C^3-smooth, then the same result for c_D and $b_D/\sqrt{n+1}$ is announced in [1] (see also [2]); this means that the differences k_D-c_D and $k_D-b_D/\sqrt{n+1}$ are bounded on $D\times D$.

Recall another result in this direction (see [10, Theorem 1]):

$$\lim_{\substack{z\to\partial D\\ z\neq w}}\frac{c_D(z,w)}{k_D(z,w)}=1 \quad \text{uniformly in } w\in D. \tag{1}$$

Our first observation can be considered as a version of Lempert's theorem (see [6, Theorem 1]), saying that $l_D=c_D$ on any convex domain D.

Proposition 1. *If D is a strongly pseudoconvex domain, then the difference l_D-c_D is bounded on $D\times D$.*

Proof. A careful check of the proof of [10, Theorem 1] (with l_D instead of k_D) shows that Proposition 1 will be a consequence of the estimate (see [9, Theorem 1]

$$2l_D(z,w)\le-\log d_D(z)-\log d_D(w)+c, \tag{2}$$

where $c>0$ is a constant, and the following localization principle applied to a strongly convex domain Ω (then $l_\Omega=k_\Omega$) becoming from the Fornaess embedding theorem (see [3, Theorem 9]):

If G is a strongly pseudoconvex domain and U is a neighborhood of $p\in\partial G$ (such that $G\cap U$ is connected), then the function $l_{G\cap U}-k_G$ is bounded near p.

This principle follows by [2, Corollary 1.3]. Indeed, we may find a strongly pseudoconvex domain $G'\subset G\cap U$ which is biholomorphic to a convex domain and $\partial G'=\partial G$ near p. Then $g_{G'}=g_G$ near p and hence there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$k_G\le l_{G\cap U}\le l_{G'}=k_{G'}\le g_{G'}+c=g_G+c\le k_G+2c \text{ near } p. \quad\square$$

A similar result to Proposition 1 holds for $b_D/\sqrt{n+1}$ instead of c_D.

Proposition 2. *If D is a $C^{2+\varepsilon}$-smooth strongly pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$, then the difference $l_D - b_D/\sqrt{n+1}$ is bounded on $D \times D$.*

Proof. We have to show the boundedness of the difference $b_D/\sqrt{n+1} - g_D$. To get this, we may apply [2, Theorem 1.1]. Thus, we need the respective estimate for β_D from there (with exponent $s = \min(\varepsilon/2, 1/2)$) which will follows from corresponding estimates for K_D and M_D. The proofs of [7, Theorems A and B] (see also the sketch of the proof of [2, Proposition 1.2]) implies that these estimates will be a consequence of

Lemma 3. *Let q be a strongly pseudoconvex boundary point of a pseudoconvex domain D (not necessary bounded) and let U be a neighborhood of q. There exist a neighborhood $V \subset U$ of q and a constant $c > 0$ such that if $z \in D \cap V$ and $X \in (\mathbb{C}^n)_*$, then*

$$\frac{K_D(z)}{K_{D\cap U}(z)} \geq 1 + c d_D(z) \log d_D(z).$$

$$\frac{M_D(z;X)}{M_{D\cap U}(z;X)} \geq 1 + c d_D(z) \log d_D(z).$$

Proof. Since D is locally strongly convexifiable, shrinking U (if necessary), we may assume that for any $\tilde{q} \in \partial D \cap U$ there exists a peak function $p_{\tilde{q}}$ for $D \cap U$ at $\tilde{q}$ such that $|1 - p_{\tilde{q}}| \leq c_1 || \cdot - \tilde{q}||$, where the constant $c_1 > 0$ does not depend on $\tilde{q}$. Take neighborhoods $V \subset V_1 \Subset V_2 \Subset U$ of p with the following property: if $z \in D \cap V$, $q_z \in \partial D$ and $||q_z - z|| = d_D(z)$, then $q_z \in V_1$. Note that $1 > c_2 := \sup_{z \in D\cap V} \sup_{D\cap U \setminus V_2} |p_{q_z}|$. Using Hörmander's L^2-estimates for the $\overline{\partial}$ operator (see [4, Theorem 2.2.1′]) provides a constant $c_3 > 0$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $z \in D \cap V$ and $X \in (\mathbb{C}^n)_*$ one has

$$\frac{K_D(z)}{K_{D\cap U}(z)} \geq \frac{|p_{q_z}(z)|^k}{1 + c_3 c_2^k}, \quad \frac{M_D(z;X)}{M_{D\cap U}(z;X)} \geq \frac{|p_{q_z}(z)|^k}{1 + c_3 c_2^k}$$

(cf. the proof of [8, Theorem 2] which is a variation of the proof of [4, Lemma 3.5.2]).

We may assume that $d_D < 1$ on $D \cap V$. Since $|p_{q_z}(z)| \geq 1 - c_1 k d_D(z)$, choosing k to be the integer part of $\log d_D(z)/\log c_2$, we find a constant $c > 0$ with the desired property. □

Finally, we point out a generalization of (1).

Proposition 4. *Let D be a strongly pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then*

$$\lim_{\substack{z \to \partial D \\ z \neq w}} \frac{c_D(z,w)}{l_D(z,w)} = 1 \quad \textit{uniformly in } w \in D.$$

In addition, if ∂D *is* $C^{2+\varepsilon}$*-smooth, then*

$$\lim_{\substack{z\to\partial D\\ z\neq w}}\frac{b_D(z,w)}{l_D(z,w)}=\sqrt{n+1}\quad \textit{uniformly in } w\in D.$$

Proof. The first equality follows in the same way as (1) in [10], using (2) instead of the upper bounds for k_D in [10, Proposition 2 (i) and (ii)] and choosing the neighborhood U in [10, Proposition 3] such that $D\cap U$ to be convexifiable (then $k_{D\cap U}=l_{D\cap U}$).

So, we may replace l_D by k_D in the second equality. To get this equality, we shall follow again the proof of (1) in [10]. Note that if $\overline{D}\ni p\neq q\in\partial D$, then

$$\lim_{z\to p, w\to q}h(z,w)=\infty.$$

We already know that the functions $b_D/\sqrt{n+1}-h_D$ and k_D-h_D are bounded. Thus, by compactness, it suffices to prove that

$$\lim_{z\neq w\to q}\frac{b_D(z,w)}{k_D(z,w)}=\sqrt{n+1}.$$

Using again the mentioned boundedness, it follows by the proofs of [10, Lemma 1 and Proposition 3] that for any neighborhood U of q there exists a neighborhood $V\subset U$ of q such that if $z,w\in D\cap V$, then

$$k_D(z,w)=\inf_\gamma\int_0^1\kappa_D(\gamma(t);\gamma'(t))dt,\ b_D(z,w)=\inf_\gamma\int_0^1\beta_D(\gamma(t);\gamma'(t))dt,$$

where the infimum is taken over all smooth curves $\gamma:[0,1]\to D\cap U$ with $\gamma(0)=z$ and $\gamma(1)=w$. To complete the proof, it remains to use that (due to K. Diederich and D. Ma)

$$\lim_{z\to q}\frac{\beta_D(z;X)}{\kappa_D(z;X)}=\sqrt{n+1}\quad\text{uniformly in } X\in(\mathbb{C}^n)_*$$

(see e.g. [5, Theorems 10.4.2 and 10.4.6]). ☐

REFERENCES

[1] Z. M. Balogh, M. Bonk, *Pseudoconvexity and Gromov hyperbolicity*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 328 (1999), 597-602.

[2] Z. M. Balogh, M. Bonk, *Gromov hyperbolicity and the Kobayashi metric on strictly pseudoconvex domains*, Comment. Math. Helv. 75 (2000), 504-533.

[3] J. E. Fornaess, *Strictly pseudoconvex domains in in convex domains*, Amer. J. Math. 98 (1976), 529-569.

[4] L. Hörmander, L^2 *estimates and existence theorems for the* $\overline{\partial}$ *operator*, Acta Math. 113 (1965), 89-152.

[5] M. Jarnicki, P. Pflug, *Invariant distances and metrics in complex analysis*, de Gruyter, 1993.

[6] L. Lempert, *Holomorphic retracts and intrinsic metrics in convex domains*, Analysis Mathematica 8 (1982), 257-261.

[7] D. Ma, *Sharp estimates of the Kobayashi metric near strongly pseudoconvex points*, Contemp. Math. 137 (1992), 329-338.

[8] N. Nikolov, *Localization of invariant metrics*, Arch. Math. 79 (2002), 67-73.

[9] N. Nikolov, P. Pflug, P. J. Thomas, *Upper bound for the Lempert function of smooth domains*, Math. Z. 266 (2010), 425-430.

[10] S. Venturini, *Comparison between the Kobayashi and Carathеódory distances on strongly pseudoconvex bounded bomains in $\mathbb{C}^n$*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 107 (1989), 725-730.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ACAD. G. BONCHEV 8, 1113 SOFIA, BULGARIA

E-mail address: `nik@math.bas.bg`